arXiv:0807.4061v1 [math.FA] 25 Jul 2008

Published: Studia Math. 120 (1996), 75–82. A manuscript was originally written in January 1996.
This is a version rewritten in 2008 using the `amsart` document class.

POLYNOMIAL SELECTIONS AND SEPARATION BY POLYNOMIALS

SZYMON WĄSOWICZ

ABSTRACT. K. Nikodem and the present author proved in [3] a theorem concerning separation by affine functions. Our purpose is to generalize that result for polynomials. As a consequence we obtain two theorems connected with separation of n-convex function from n-concave function by a polynomial of degree at most n and a stability result of Hyers-Ulam type for polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

By $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of all reals and positive integers, respectively. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. In this paper we present a necessary and sufficient condition under which two functions $f, g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ can be separated by a polynomial of degree at most n, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed number. Our main result is a generalization of the theorem concerning separation by affine functions obtained recently by K. Nikodem and the present author in [3]. To get it we use Behrends and Nikodem's abstract selection theorem (cf. [1, Theorem 1]). It is a variation of Helly's theorem (cf. [7, Theorem 6.1]).

By $\mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the family of all non-empty compact real intervals. Recall that if $F : I \to \mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ is a set-valued function then a function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *selection* of F iff $f(x) \in F(x)$ for every $x \in I$.

Behrends and Nikodem's theorem states that if $\mathscr{W}$ is an n-dimensional space of functions mapping I into $\mathbb{R}$ then a set-valued function $F : I \to \mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ has a selection belonging to $\mathscr{W}$ if and only if for every $n+1$ points $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ there exists a function $f \in \mathscr{W}$ such that $f(x_i) \in F(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$.

Let us start with the notation used in this paper. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ are different points then for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we define

$$c_i(x; x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j}.$$

Note that $c_i(x_j; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is equal to 0 if $i \neq j$ and to 1 if $i = j$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. $\mathscr{P}_n$ stands for the family of all polynomials of degree at most n. If $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ are different points then the Lagrange interpolating polynomial going through the points (x_i, y_i), $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, is given by the following formula:

$$w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x; x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) y_i. \tag{1}$$

Date: January 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 26A51, 26E25, 39B72, 54C65; Secondary: 26D07, 52A35.

Key words and phrases. Separation theorem, set-valued function, selection, n-convex function, n-concave function, affine function, Helly's theorem, Lagrange interpolating polynomial.

This uniquely determined polynomial is a member of $\mathscr{P}_n$. Moreover, if $x < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1}$ then $c_i(x; x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ is positive if i is odd and negative if i is even.

2. POLYNOMIAL SELECTIONS OF SET-VALUED FUNCTIONS

Now we shall prove a selection theorem which will be used to obtain our main result. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$$

denotes the algebraic sum of the sets A_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set-valued function $F : I \to \mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ has a selection belonging to $\mathscr{P}_n$ if and only if for every $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ such that $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1}$ the following condition holds:*

$$F(x_0) \cap \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) F(x_i) \right) \neq \emptyset. \tag{2}$$

Proof. If F has a selection belonging to $\mathscr{W}_n$ then (2) is obvious. We prove that (2) implies an existence of a polynomial selection of F. First we note that $\mathscr{P}_n$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional space of functions. If we prove that for every $n+2$ points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ there exists a $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ such that $w(x_i) \in F(x_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1$, then by Behrends and Nikodem's theorem F will have a desired selection. (For another Helly-type theorem which may be used here cf. also [7, Theorem 6.9].)

Fix any different points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I$ and assume $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n+1}$. Let $L_i = c_i(x_0; x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$. Thus (2) has the form

$$F(x_0) \cap \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i F(x_i) \right) \neq \emptyset. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to observe that L_i is positive if i is odd and negative if i is even.

Put

$$y_0 = \inf F(x_0), \quad z_0 = \sup F(x_0)$$

and for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$,

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \inf F(x_i) & \text{if } L_i > 0, \\ \sup F(x_i) & \text{if } L_i < 0, \end{cases} \qquad z_i = \begin{cases} \sup F(x_i) & \text{if } L_i > 0, \\ \inf F(x_i) & \text{if } L_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $F(x_0) = [y_0, z_0]$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$,

$$F(x_i) = \begin{cases} [y_i, z_i] & \text{if } L_i > 0, \\ [z_i, y_i] & \text{if } L_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $-[\alpha, \beta] = [-\beta, -\alpha]$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $L_i F(x_i) = [L_i y_i, L_i z_i]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$. If $u = L_1 y_1 + \cdots + L_{n+1} y_{n+1}$ and $v = L_1 z_1 + \cdots + L_{n+1} z_{n+1}$ then $u \leq v$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i F(x_i) &= [L_1 y_1, L_1 z_1] + \cdots + [L_{n+1} y_{n+1}, L_{n+1} z_{n+1}] \\ &= [L_1 y_1 + \cdots + L_{n+1} y_{n+1}, L_1 z_1 + \cdots + L_{n+1} z_{n+1}] = [u, v] \end{aligned}$$

and by (3) we get

$$[y_0, z_0] \cap [u, v] \neq \emptyset. \tag{4}$$

There are three cases of the location of the above intervals:

(a) $u \in [y_0, z_0]$,
(b) $v \in [y_0, z_0]$,
(c) $[y_0, z_0] \subset [u, v]$.

Fix $t \in [0,1]$ and consider the polynomial $\varphi_t \in \mathscr{P}_n$ going through $n+1$ different points:

$$\big(x_0, tu + (1-t)v\big) \ \text{ and } \ \big(x_i, ty_i + (1-t)z_i\big) \ \text{ for } \ i = 1, \dots, n-1, n+1.$$

We shall show later that

$$\varphi_t(x_n) = ty_n + (1-t)z_n. \tag{5}$$

Hence, in the case (a) for $w = \varphi_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_0) &= u \in [y_0, z_0] = F(x_0), \\ w(x_i) &= y_i \in F(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, n, n+1 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly in the case (b) for $w = \varphi_0$. In the case (c) $y_0 = \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v$ for some $\lambda \in [0,1]$. For $w = \varphi_\lambda$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_0) &= y_0 \in F(x_0), \\ w(x_i) &= \lambda y_i + (1-\lambda) z_i \in F(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, n, n+1. \end{aligned}$$

So in all cases there exists a $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ such that $w(x_i) \in F(x_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, n+1$, which will complete the proof if we show that (5) holds true.

By (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(x) = {} & c_0(x; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\big(tu + (1-t)v\big) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i(x; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\big(ty_i + (1-t)z_i\big) \\ & + c_{n+1}(x; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})\big(ty_{n+1} + (1-t)z_{n+1}\big). \end{aligned}$$

If $M_i = c_i(x_n; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, n+1$, then after a bit of computation

$$\varphi_t(x_n) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq n}}^{n+1} (M_0 L_i + M_i)\big(ty_i + (1-t)z_i\big) + M_0 L_n \big(ty_n + (1-t)z_n\big).$$

One can verify (using the product formula given in Introduction) that $M_0 L_n = 1$ and $M_0 L_i + M_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1, n+1$. Hence (5) holds and this finishes the proof. ☐

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

Corollary 2. [8, Theorem 1] *A set-valued function* $F : I \to \mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ *has an affine selection iff for every* $x, y \in I$, $t \in [0,1]$

$$F\big(tx + (1-t)y\big) \cap \big(tF(x) + (1-t)F(y)\big) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. The above condition is equivalent to (2) for $n = 1$, $x < y$, $x_0 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_1 = tx_0 + (1-t)x_2$, where $t = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0 - x_2}$. ☐

3. SEPARATION BY POLYNOMIALS

The main result of this paper reads as follows

Theorem 3. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f, g : I \to \mathbb{R}$. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists* $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ *such that* $f(x) \le w(x) \le g(x)$, $x \in I$;

(ii) $f(b) \le g(b)$, *where* $b \in I$ *is the right side endpoint of* I *(if exists) and for every* $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ *such that* $x_0 \le x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &\le \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) g(x_i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ even}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i), \\ g(x_0) &\ge \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ i \text{ even}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) g(x_i). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof. To prove that (i) implies (ii) fix any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ such that $x_0 \le x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$. Since the polynomial w goes through the points $(x_i, w(x_i))$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we have

$$w(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) w(x_i).$$

Then the ineualities (6) are obvious.

To prove the converse implication first note that replacing x_0 by x_1 in (6) we have $f(x_1) \le g(x_1)$ in both ineualities, i.e. (ii) yields $f \le g$ on I. Let

$$F(x) = [f(x), g(x)], \quad x \in I.$$

We shall show that $F : I \to \mathrm{cc}(\mathbb{R})$ fulfils (2). Fix any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ such that $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$. Let u, v be equal to the right hand sides of the upper and lower ineualities (6), respectively. Therefore $v \le u$ and

$$[f(x_0), g(x_0)] \cap [v, u] \ne \emptyset \tag{7}$$

(otherwise $g(x_0) < v$ or $u < f(x_0)$ – contradiction with (6)). Let $L_i = c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Then

$$L_i F(x_i) = \begin{cases} [L_i f(x_i), L_i g(x_i)] & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ [L_i g(x_i), L_i f(x_i)] & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$[v, u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i F(x_i).$$

Thus (7) implies (2). By Theorem 1 F has a selection $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 4. Ineualities (6) in Theorem 3 do not guarantee $f(b) \le g(b)$, where $b \in I$ is the right side endpoint of I (if exists). The following two functions

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x & \text{for } 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } x = 1 \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } 0 \le x < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

fulfil (6) for $n = 1$ but $f(1) > g(1)$. Of course, f and g can not be separated by a straight line.

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we obtain

Corollary 5. [3, Theorem 1] *Let $f, g : I \to \mathbb{R}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists an affine function $h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \le h(x) \le g(x)$, $x \in I$;*

(ii) *for every $x, y \in I$, $t \in [0,1]$*

$$f\big(tx + (1-t)y\big) \le tg(x) + (1-t)g(y)$$

and

$$g\big(tx + (1-t)y\big) \ge tf(x) + (1-t)f(y).$$

Proof. The above ineualities are equivalent to (ii) in Theorem 3 (cf. the proof of Corollary 2). □

4. APPLICATIONS

One can verify that $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex iff for every $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in I$ such that $x_0 < x_1 < x_2$

$$f(x_0) \ge c_1(x_0; x_1, x_2) f(x_1) + c_2(x_0; x_1, x_2) f(x_2).$$

We adopt the following definition (cf. [6, §83], [2], [5], [4]).

Definition. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is *n-convex* iff for every $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ such that $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$

$$(-1)^n f(x_0) \le (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i).$$

f is *n-concave* iff $(-f)$ is n-convex.

If f is both n-convex and n-concave then f is a polynomial belonging to $\mathscr{P}_n$ (going through the points $(x_i, f(x_i))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$).

Corollary 6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-convex, $g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-concave and $f(x) \le g(x)$, $x \in I$, then there exists a polynomial $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ such that $f(x) \le w(x) \le g(x)$, $x \in I$.*

Proof. Fix any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ such that $x_0 \le x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$. If n is even then by n-convexity of f

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &\le \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i) \\ &\le \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) g(x_i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ even}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd then by n-concavity of g

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) \le g(x_0) &\le \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) g(x_i) \\ &\le \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) g(x_i) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ even}}}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the second ineuality in (6) is analogous. Theorem 3 completes the proof. □

In the same way we get

Corollary 7. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*. If* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *is* n*-concave,* $g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *is* n*-convex and* $f(x) \leq g(x)$*,* $x \in I$*, then there exists a polynomial* $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ *such that* $f(x) \leq w(x) \leq g(x)$*,* $x \in I$*.*

For $n = 1$ the above two results are well known and Corollaries 6 and 7 generalize them.

Finally we prove a stability result for polynomials (cf. a Hyers-Ulam stability theorem for affine functions in [3]). First observe that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w(x) = 1$, $x \in I$, then $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ and for every different points $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in I$ (1) has the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 1, \quad x \in I.$$

Corollary 8. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$*. If for every* $x_0, x_1, \dots,$ $x_{n+1} \in I$ *such that* $x_0 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_{n+1}$

$$\left| f(x_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) f(x_i) \right| \leq \varepsilon \tag{8}$$

then there exists a polynomial $w \in \mathscr{P}_n$ *such that*

$$|f(x) - w(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad x \in I. \tag{9}$$

Proof. If f satisfies (8) then (ii) in Theorem 3 holds for $g(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon$, $x \in I$. So there exists a polynomial $\varphi \in \mathscr{P}_n$ such that $f(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq f(x) + \varepsilon$, $x \in I$. For

$$w(x) = \varphi(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad x \in I$$

we obtain (9). □

REFERENCES

1. Ehrhard Behrends and Kazimierz Nikodem, *A selection theorem of Helly type and its applications*, Studia Math. **116** (1995), no. 1, 43–48. MR 1355063 (96i:52005)
2. Zbigniew Ciesielski, *Some properties of convex functions of higher orders*, Ann. Polon. Math. **7** (1959), 1–7. MR 0109202 (22 #89)
3. Kazimierz Nikodem and Szymon Wąsowicz, *A sandwich theorem and Hyers-Ulam stability of affine functions*, Aequationes Math. **49** (1995), no. 1-2, 160–164. MR 1309300 (95m:26019)
4. Tiberiu Popoviciu, *Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une ou de deux variables réelles*, Mathematica, Cluj **8** (1934), 1–85 (French).
5. ______, *Les fonctions convexes*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 992, Hermann et Cie, Paris, 1944. MR 0018705 (8,319a)
6. A. Wayne Roberts and Dale E. Varberg, *Convex functions*, Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, publishers], New York-London, 1973, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 57. MR 0442824 (56 #1201)
7. Frederick A. Valentine, *Convex sets*, McGraw-Hill series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964. MR 0170264 (30 #503)
8. Szymon Wąsowicz, *On affine selections of set-valued functions*, J. Appl. Anal. **1** (1995), no. 2, 173–179. MR 1395272 (97e:26025)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BIELSKO–BIAŁA, WILLOWA 2, 43–309 BIELSKO–BIAŁA, POLAND

E-mail address: `swasowicz@ath.bielsko.pl`